

**Sociological Views on Domestic Abuse in Australia: Comprehending and Assessing Policy**

**Reactions**

Student's Name

Institution's Affiliation

Professor's Name

Course Name

Date

## **Sociological Views on Domestic Abuse in Australia: Comprehending and Assessing Policy Reactions**

### **Introduction**

Family violence is a major societal issue in Australia that requires thorough investigation from a variety of angles to understand its complex nature fully. It is pervasive and frighteningly familiar. To unravel the intricate web of nuances surrounding this ubiquitous societal issue, this essay attempts to comprehend family violence by utilizing two significant sociological theories as analytical tools. The report also aims to critically analyze the plans and directives developed by the Australian government to address this problem. A careful reading of the constitutional power structures and the obligations of the several branches of government is required for this analysis. The goal is to reveal the complex processes underpinning this societal issue by delving into the historical causes and modern manifestations of family violence. This investigation goes beyond the obvious signs and thoroughly examines sociological models that offer unique insights into the causes of family violence and a comprehensive view of the social elements that support its continuation. By taking a thorough approach, the essay seeks to understand the outward signs of family violence and the underlying social structures that contribute to and sustain this complex and multidimensional problem.

### **Section 1: Comprehending Family Violence, a Crucial Social Issue:**

Family violence is a widespread and deeply rooted problem that takes its cues from the complex web of social systems and presents itself as many types of abuse inside families. Examining the historical background of family violence reveals an unsettling pattern of intergenerational repetition that suggests a cyclical nature driven by power differentials, cultural standards, and economic inequities. This historical perspective emphasizes the significance of

treating family violence as a complicated social issue by assisting us in realizing that it is not an isolated incident but instead is a part of more significant societal dynamics.

A critical analytical framework that draws attention to the systemic power disparities and patriarchal systems that support the continuation of family violence is feminist theory. This lens focuses on the ways that social systems that are rooted in gender inequality serve as triggers for the perpetuation of violence inside families. Systemic inequities rooted in traditional gender norms and expectations fuel a troubling cycle of violence that lasts from generation to generation. These injustices create an environment where power imbalances might escalate into violent acts (Roberson, 2019). The feminist perspective, which emphasizes the need to address social institutions that enable and encourage family violence in addition to individual incidents, calls for a systemic change. Symbolic interactionism, on the other hand, offers an alternative viewpoint by encouraging the investigation of minute interactions within families. This theoretical framework provides insights into how daily interactions and personal interpretations of the world around us lead to the normalization of violent behavior. Symbolic interactionism explores how family violence permeates social interactions by examining the symbolic meanings ascribed to words and actions within familial connections. For example, warped conceptions of authority, normalized communication patterns, and societal acceptance of particular behaviors all play a part in the continuation of family violence. Awareness of how violence can normalize within a family unit requires an understanding of the symbolic meaning linked to these interactions. This highlights the need for interventions that target both macro-level structures and micro-level dynamics to break the cycle of family violence.

## **Section 2: Policy Reaction to Domestic Abuse:**

Assessing the effectiveness of policy responses to family violence requires an understanding of Australia's constitutional divisions of powers. The federal structure dramatically affects the regulatory framework for dealing with family violence because it gives the Commonwealth and individual states different authority. Interestingly, family violence is essentially the responsibility of state governments, which means that a careful analysis of the complex state-federal relationships and constitutional duties that define the legal environment is required. This decentralized approach acknowledges the various regional contexts (Postmus, 2020). It permits customized interventions since each state government is responsible for developing and implementing policies that align with the particular difficulties and cultural dynamics of family violence in its jurisdiction.

This grasp of the Constitution is essential to ensure clarity on the allocation of tasks and to navigate potential overlaps or gaps in the legal framework. It offers insights into the strategic application of cooperative efforts between the states and the Commonwealth to address family violence successfully. This understanding of constitutional divisions of powers, which acknowledges the delicate balance between national consistency and localized responsiveness, serves as the foundation for a thorough assessment of the policy landscape and highlights the interdependent roles The responsibilities that Australia's federal and state governments bear.

coordinated efforts to combat family violence.

In Australia, the Department of Social Services has a vital role at the federal level in coordinating a coordinated national response to domestic violence (Seymour,2021). Although not directly involved in putting state-specific laws into action, the department is a vital coordinator, encouraging cooperation and guaranteeing a consistent strategy to combat family violence throughout the various environments of the nation. This cooperative endeavor recognizes the complexity of family violence and the demand for an integrated approach that cuts across state lines. To guarantee a comprehensive and consistent national policy to address family violence, the Department of Social Services facilitates communication and collaboration between federal and state entities.

State governments, working through their separate agencies, are in charge of putting policies about family violence into action. This decentralized strategy stems from the understanding that family violence is complex and multifaceted, with distinct manifestations in different communities and geographical areas. State governments are tasked with implementing and overseeing family violence legislation on the ground, enabling customized interventions that consider local needs, cultural variances, and discrepancies. State-level agencies are in a better position to comprehend and handle the unique difficulties encountered by a variety of groups, ensuring that interventions are customized to match the individual circumstances of family violence rather than being a one-size-fits-all approach.

Australia's comprehensive and flexible approach to addressing family violence is shown in the complex federal coordination and state-level implementation mix. **cc**. This method acknowledges the need for contextually appropriate solutions that also consider the unique problems that communities and individuals confront (Pfitzner,2022). Implementation at the state

level provides the flexibility required to traverse the complex and varied terrain of family violence, while federal coordination guarantees a unified and cooperative national approach.

A thorough examination of the policy options put forth by the Australian government highlights the need for a comprehensive and multidimensional strategy to address family violence. One notable project that demonstrates cooperation between the Commonwealth and the states is the National Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and Their Children. Several components are included in the National Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and Their Children, including preventive and responsive actions beyond a purely reactive approach. Community education, which aims to alter cultural attitudes and norms around family violence, legal reforms to fortify the legal framework for prosecuting offenders, and improved victim support services are among the strategies incorporated into the National Plan. The National Plan, which tackles family violence from various perspectives, reflects a proactive and comprehensive approach to resolving this complicated social issue. It aims to bring about long-lasting societal change while offering urgent support to individuals impacted.

Although legislation in Australia has made significant strides in combating family violence, there are still ongoing issues with their coordination and execution. The decentralized form of government introduces a certain amount of heterogeneity in the efficacy of interventions, as

states possess significant autonomy in implementing policies. This discrepancy can be explained by variations in the number of resources allotted to the fight against family violence and geographical and cultural variables. The decentralized model emphasizes the significance of maintaining uniformity and equality of support across all regions, even permitting customized interventions. The difficulties brought about by these differences highlight the necessity of constant review and modification to maximize policies' effectiveness.

Adaptation and ongoing assessment are essential components in addressing the dynamically changing sociocultural factors that lead to family violence. Given the intricate interactions of variables such as shifting cultural norms, economic situations, and evolving social conditions, a flexible and adaptable policy framework is necessary. Because family violence has many facets, treatments must be flexible to be practical and relevant (Woods,2023). It becomes essential for legislators, service providers, and communities to maintain a constant feedback loop. By enabling those who are directly impacted by family violence to share their experiences and viewpoints, this feedback loop fosters collaboration and helps shape changes to the policy. It ensures that interventions stay in line with the changing requirements of people and communities by fostering a responsive system that can quickly address new difficulties.

Recognizing the complexity of this social issue, Australia's family violence strategy is essentially marked by cooperation and all-encompassing tactics. Acknowledging the necessity of continuous assessment and modification demonstrates a dedication to a sophisticated and flexible strategy. Even if there has been a lot of improvement, it is essential to recognize that family violence is still complicated and that constant effort to improve methods is necessary. The goal is to meet the various requirements of people and communities throughout the country while making sure that legislative solutions change as family violence changes in nature. This strategy

demonstrates a dedication to flexibility, resilience, and a persistent attempt to bring about significant change in the fight against family violence in Australia.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, this essay has applied feminist and symbolic interactionist theories to explain familial violence thoroughly. The investigation of family violence highlights the necessity for a multimodal analytical approach since it is based on the intricate interaction of cultural norms, societal structures, and power dynamics. Gender inequality is one of the primary causes of family violence, and feminist theory has shed light on the ubiquitous impact of power disparities and patriarchal systems.

By examining the intricate dynamics that exist within families and revealing how commonplace actions and personal interpretations contribute to the normalization of violent behavior, symbolic interactionism has advanced our understanding. This dual theoretical approach has improved our performance of this complex social issue and helped us to comprehend the complex processes that embed family violence into societal dynamics and structural patterns. Examining the policy actions of the Australian government in light of the constitutionally established divisions of powers has demonstrated cooperation between the Commonwealth and the states. One notable effort is the National Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children, which shows a dedication to a thorough strategy, including preventive and remedial actions. Nonetheless, the continued difficulties with coordination and execution highlight the need for ongoing assessment and improvement of these programs.

Crucially, the finding highlights the necessity of significant cultural change in attitudes and practices about family violence in addition to legislative initiatives. Policies such as the National Plan represent a considerable step forward, but changing cultural attitudes and techniques are



necessary to address the underlying causes of family violence. This essay argues for a more knowledgeable and practical strategy to lessen the effects of family violence in Australia by exploring the nuances of the problem and critically assessing the sociological frameworks and legislative approaches. In the face of this widespread socioeconomic dilemma, it emphasizes the continued need for cooperation, flexibility, and a comprehensive community commitment to generating long-lasting change.

## **References**

- Wallace, H., Roberson, C., & Globokar, J. (2019). *Family violence: Legal, medical, and social perspectives*. Routledge.
- Postmus, J. L., Hoge, G. L., Breckenridge, J., Sharp-Jeffs, N., & Chung, D. (2020). Economic abuse as an invisible form of domestic violence: A multicountry review. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse, 21*(2), 261-283.
- Seymour, K., Natalier, K., & Wendt, S. (2021). Changed men? Men talking about violence and change in domestic and family violence perpetrator intervention programs. *Men and masculinities, 24*(5), 884-901.
- Pfitzner, N., Fitz-Gibbon, K., & Meyer, S. (2022). Responding to women experiencing domestic and family violence during the COVID-19 pandemic: Exploring experiences and impacts of remote service delivery in Australia. *Child & Family Social Work, 27*(1), 30-40.
- Woods, J. A., Ward, A. C., Greville, H. S., Moran, M. C., Nattabi, B., Martin, K. E., & Thompson, S. C. (2023). Measuring for primary prevention: An online survey of local community perspectives on family and domestic violence in regional Australia. *PLoS one, 18*(4), e0284302.
- Nancarrow, H. (2019). *Unintended consequences of domestic violence law: Gendered aspirations and racialized realities*. Springer Nature.